

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 E. E., *et al.*,
8 Plaintiffs,
9 v.
10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, *et al.*,
11 Defendants.
12

Case No. [21-cv-07585-SI](#)

**ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFFS TO
FILE RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS'
NOVEMBER 8 FILING NO LATER
THAN NOON ON NOVEMBER 10**

Re: Dkt. No. 40

13 On November 8, 2021, defendants filed a request for clarification regarding the temporary
14 restraining order. Defendants raise various objections to, and questions about, the language in the
15 TRO that plaintiffs proposed and that the Court adopted. The Court directs plaintiffs to file a
16 response to defendants' filing no later than noon on November 10. Plaintiffs shall respond to the
17 points raised by defendants and also shall explain why, in their view, defendants' proposed language
18 is inadequate.

19 Alternatively, if the parties are able to agree on mutually acceptable language, the parties
20 shall notify the Court and the Court will amend the TRO to include the agreed-upon language.

21
22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23
24 Dated: November 8, 2021

25
26
27
28 
SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge